

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/998,618	11/30/2001	David Stein	1136/032	4922
22440	7590 05/24/2004	EXAMINER		INER
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			NGUYEN, KIEN T	
270 MADISO 8TH FLOOR	N AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 100160601			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/998,618	STEIN, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kien T. Nguyen	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,16,18,24-26,28,29,32,34,35 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,16,18,24-26,28,29,32,34,35 and</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. nd 38-45 is/are rejected.	plication.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the output of th	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 16, 18, 24-26, 28, 29, 34, 35, 42, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan U.S. Patent 6,449,460 in view of Kramer U.S. Patent 5,607,339.

Logan disclosed a teaching method and kit comprising a song book having a plurality of pages (18) with each page containing song lyrics (24), a binder connects the pages together; the song book also includes a recording medium as shown in Figs. 5 and 7. It is noted that Logan failed to teach the pages are waterproof and support means for supporting the pages in a shower enclosure. However, as noted in the specification of the present application, singing in a shower enclosure is very well known and countless number of people had done it for a long time. Kramer disclosed a both toy comprising at least one sheet material (15) made from flexible water-proof material such as polymer plastic (see column 1, lines 40-42; and column 2, lines 39-41); the sheet (15) is removably attached to a shower surface (12) solely by a layer of water (24) (see Fig. 3) wetted at least one page and located between the page and the shower surface for selectively attaching the page to the surface by water adhesion as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the pages of Logan with the teaching of waterproof page and supporting

Art Unit: 3712

means for attaching the pages to the shower as taught by Kramer for the advantage of providing a wider usage of the singing teaching device of Logan.

Regarding claim 6, it is noted that Logan shows a cassette as a recording medium instead of a CD recording, and Logan does not appear to disclose means for detachably attaching the recording to the book. However, it is well known in the art that a cassette recording is considered equivalent to a CD recording, and a support means for detachably attaching a recording medium in a book is also well known and widely available. Therefore, it would have been a matter of design choice to modify the cassette recording as Logan with any equivalent recording medium such as a CD and any well-known support means for purpose of preventing the recording medium from misplaced.

Regarding claims 25, 26, 28, and 29, it would have been a matter of design choice to make the song in any desired size and shape to accommodate any particular user.

Regarding claims 44 and 45, it is noted that the combination of Logan and Kramer does not explicitly teach the step of sliding the page along the shower surface as set forth in these claims. However, a wide range of users such as adult and/or children may use the combination of Logan and Kramer and a thin water layer is the sole adhesion means for removably attaching the page to the shower surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to slide the page of the combination of Logan and Kramer along the shower surface to accommodate any specific user.

Art Unit: 3712

Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer ('339).

See the above explanation in the rejection of claims 44 and 45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32, 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kramer ('339).

Kramer disclosed all of the steps as set forth in these claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

Ktn